



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/336,424	06/17/1999	DAVID T. SULCER	04020.P001	7619

29855 7590 07/09/2004

WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,
P.C.
20333 SH 249
SUITE 600
HOUSTON, TX 77070

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HAI V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/336,424

Applicant(s)

SULCER ET AL.

Examiner

Hai V. Nguyen

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the communication received on 21 April 2004.
2. Claims 1-52 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Chen** et al. U.S. patent no. **5,506,955**.
5. As to claim 1, Chen teaches substantially the invention as claimed, including a method, comprising:
 - a) receiving a message (*message*) that was sent from a remote device, said message comprising a definition (*data reduction and alarms, statistics*), a state change (*conditions*), and a command, said definition defining a fixed aspect of a user environment, said state change describing a change in a non-fixed aspect of said user environment, said command being a directive that causes a function to be performed (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*); and

Art Unit: 2142

b) processing said definition before said state change and said command are processed (*col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*), processing said state change before said command is processed (*col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*), and processing said command (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

6. As to claim 2, Chen teaches, wherein said command further comprises an express command (*Chen, col. 19 line 16 - col. 20, line 67; col. 31, lines 14-17*).

7. As to claim 3, Chen teaches, wherein said express command corresponds to a clicking a mouse button (*Chen, col. 31, lines 14-17*).

8. As to claim 4, Chen teaches, wherein said express command corresponds to hitting an enter key (*Chen, col. 99, line 27 – col. 100, line 25*).

9. As to claim 5, Chen teaches, wherein said express command corresponds to selecting an option from a menu (*Chen, col. 13, lines 29-35; col. 99, line 27 – col. 100, line 25*).

10. As to claim 6, Chen teaches, wherein said command further comprises an instruction command (*Chen, col. 93, lines 57-67*).

11. As to claim 7, Chen teaches, wherein said instruction command corresponds to getting a form (*col. 40, lines 25-55*).

Art Unit: 2142

12. As to claim 8, Chen teaches, wherein said state change corresponds to a new value in a form (*a console*) (*Chen, col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

13. As to claim 9, Chen teaches, wherein said definition corresponds to the definition of a form (*Chen, col. 8, line 34 – col. 9, line 23; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

14. As to claim 10, Chen teaches, wherein said processing said definition further comprises updating a definition record associated with a GUI understanding with said definition (*Chen, col. 8, line 34 – col. 9, line 23; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

15. As to claim 11, Chen teaches, wherein said processing said state change further comprises updating a state record associated with a GUI understanding with said state change (*Chen, col. 8, line 34 – col. 9, line 23; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

16. As to claim 12, Chen teaches substantially the invention as claimed, including a method executed by a local device that cooperatively operates with a remote device in order to implement an application software program, said device separated from said remote device by a network, said method comprising:

a) recognizing that a dependence on said remote device, said dependence being a need for said remote device to perform some act, said act allowing said application software program to continue to operate (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*); and

Art Unit: 2142

b) sending a message to said remote device, said message comprising either a definition, a state change, a command or some combination thereof, said definition defining a fixed aspect of a said application software program, said state change describing a change in a non-fixed aspect of said application software program, said command being a directive that causes said remote device to perform said act (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

17. Claims 13-20 are substantially the same the claims 2-9 and are thus rejected for the reason similar to those in rejection claims 2-9.

18. Claims 21-22 are substantially the same the claim 10 and are thus rejected for the reason similar to those in rejection claim 10.

19. Claim 23 is substantially the same the claim 11 and is thus rejected for the reason similar to those in rejection claim 11.

20. As to claim 24, Chen teaches, receiving an acknowledgement message from said remote device, said acknowledgement message comprising either a second definition, a second state change, a second command or some combination thereof (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*); and

processing said second definition (if any) before said second state change (if any) and before said second command (if any) (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5,*

Art Unit: 2142

line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25); and

processing said second state change (if any) before processing said second command (if any) (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

21. As to claim 25, Chen teaches further comprising translating said definition (if any), said state change (if any) and said command (if any) to a GUI (*Chen, col. 3, line 46 – col. 5, line 3; col. 8, line 34 – col. 9, line 23; col. 38, line 22 – col. 39, line 67; col. 40, line 14 – col. 41, line 64; col. 93, line 21 – col. 94, line 51; col. 98, line 55 – col. 100, line 25*).

22. Claim 26 is corresponding apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 1.

23. Claims 27-36 are substantially the same the claims 2-11 and are thus rejected for the reason similar to those in rejection claims 2-11.

24. Claim 37 is corresponding apparatus claim of claim 12; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 12.

25. Claims 38-50 are substantially the same the claims 13-25 and are thus rejected for the reason similar to those in rejection claims 13-25.

26. Claim 51 is corresponding computer readable medium claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 1.

27. Claim 52 is corresponding computer readable medium claim of claim 12; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 12.

Art Unit: 2142

28. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an attachment to this action.

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-0276. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 703-305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hai V. Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2142

HN

Jack Harvey
JACK B. HARVEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER